Free Download: RFK, Jr.'s New Book — 'A Letter to Liberals'

NEWS

VAERS COVID Vaccine Injury Reports 'Unprecedented' in Quantity and 'Alarm,' Analysis Shows

After analyzing the Vaccine Adverse Event Reporting System reports of injuries following COVID-19 vaccines, Jessica Rose, Ph.D., concluded "human physiology is serving as the mercy of whoever's in these shots, particularly the mRNA shots, but not exclusively."

By The Epoch Times

Mics a day, mics a lot. *Subscribe to The Defender's Top News of the Day.* **It's free.**

By Marina Zhang

Jessica Rose is a Canadian molecular biologist. She was also trained in biostatistics during her Ph.D. and Years 1.

But since the vaccine rollout began 18 years ago, she has been analyzing statistics every day.

"I really, still loathe statistics," Rose said, yet that hasn't stopped her from analyzing the Vaccine Adverse Event Reporting System (VAERS) data, nor the South African VAERS database.

What she has found are unprecedented reports in its quantity and the alarm.

To give an example, childhood dementia is a rare vaccine adverse event. Yet COVID-19 vaccines contributed to 1,223 reports of dementia and related events in children aged 5 to 17 on the VAERS database.

In young adults aged 18 to 29, COVID-19 vaccines were related to 50.9% of dementia and related adverse events.

Unprecedented reports in quantity and alarm

"There are so many things in there [VAERS] that are rare, that are new cancers that shouldn't be happening in certain subsets of the population like ALL [acute lymphoblastic leukemia] in adults, male breast cancers, dementia in children ... you name it," Rose told The Epoch Times.

ALS, is a cancer condition that can affect all age groups but is the most common in children aged 0 to 14. There have been reports of neurodegenerative in women in older age groups even though the condition usually affects people in men.

Looking at VAERS as safety signals for the COVID-19 vaccines alone, Rose said that "human physiology is serving as the mercy of whoever's in these shots, particularly the mRNA shots, but not exclusively."

Assuming that the vaccine was associated with the onset of those adverse events, Rose said that "biologically speaking, what exactly is put into these vaccine vials to contribute to these injuries."

She gave the examples of sudden adult death syndrome, and SADS, which has been emerging and reported in several young athletes.

"I'm trying to wrap my brain around this vaccine ... could you be injecting into people that would cause them to die quickly? It's very weird to me, very weird. I have no answer to that question. I always think that it could be multifactorial.

"You're seeing a lot of footage of athletes and healthy young people, just dropping, they don't even have time to complain about any sort of neural impairment. Something really switches off, and I can't figure out what that is."

Get Text Alerts
Sign up for breaking news from The Defender.
Currently only eligible for numbers in the U.S. and Canada.
Phone
By submitting, I have read and agree to the Terms of Use and Privacy Policy.
CONTINUE

Strong evidence of causation

Rose said that there is strong evidence of causation by simply looking at the VAERS data alone.

But the conceded that "causation is a judgement call."

"It's important for everyone to understand that we can provide strong evidence of causal effects, but it's always a judgement call on our part."

Therefore, though causation can never be proven, evidence can always be collated to justify the decision to call a causation.

The common criteria used to evaluate causation is the Bradford Hill criteria. It is a list with 9 to 10 criteria that need to be satisfied, though causations can usually be called when five or six points are fulfilled.

The World Health Organization only lists seven of the Bradford Hill criteria for causality.

The authors of the report stated that the seven criteria are all relevant to assessing causality, though temporality is the most essential.

"One of the most important ones is temporality," Rose said, this means that the adverse event has to follow the vaccination or whatever is administered, and the shorter the time frame, the stronger the association.

"Think of an acute reaction to a trigger like anaphylaxis," Rose said, "if somebody gets injected with something, and within 15 minutes, they can't breathe, or they're having severe chest pain, or bone tons of severe pain, there's not much of a human being on the planet who's not going to say, oh, it's because of the shot, or at least say it's probably because of the shot."

As of Sept. 29, the U.S. VAERS data shows that around 53.6% of all reported adverse events since the COVID-19 vaccine rollout occurred between days 0 and 1.

Onset of adverse events reported to Vaccine Adverse Event Reporting System (VAERS) following COVID-19 vaccination, the vertical line represents the median. Source: The Epoch Times

The other nine criteria include strength (association), specificity, consistency, dose-response, plausibility, coherence, experiment, and reasonability.

At a video conference on the World Council for Health, Rose presented her analysis of causal evidence for all 10 criteria.

Apart from temporality, another common criteria is strength. This tests the strength of the association between vaccination and adverse events. If a positive association exists, as vaccination increases, adverse events should increase.

Rose tested this by comparing the number of severe adverse events between the vaccinated and the placebo group in the Moderna trials.

Both groups had around 15,000 people.

In the supplementary appendix, the data showed that 83 people in the vaccinated group had severe adverse events as compared to 31 in the placebo group.

By putting these numbers in a Chi-square test, Rose found that there was a significance in the difference between the two groups.

"When you compare the number of severe adverse events in the drug arm — the mRNA 1273 arm — versus the placebo, you find a statistically significant difference between the two groups.

You can see that the number of severe adverse event reports were actually higher in the drug arm," Rose said on the video conference.

Jessica Rose's slides from the World Council for Health, slide shows a strong association between the Moderna vaccine and severe adverse events. Courtesy of Jessica Rose

The P-value measured in a Chi-square test generally needs a number less than 0.05 to consider the association to be significant, the lower the number is the greater the significance.

For the Moderna data, the P-value was less than 0.00001, showing a significant result with a strong association.

Another variable assessed is the P-value: it tests correlation, and the closer the R-value is to 1, the stronger the correlation.

Rose found that the R-value between vaccination and severe adverse event in the Moderna trial to be 0.99, "which is a very high number."

The authors of the Moderna study stated in the report that there was a greater risk of severe adverse events between the placebo group and the vaccine group. Based on the discussion, they concluded that "no safety concern is identified in this trial."

Plausibility is another criterion. It tests if the theory of a causal relationship can be explained biologically.

The vaccine induces the cells to produce spike protein. There are already plenty of studies that indicate the harms of spike proteins: if systematically circulating in the blood, it can cross the blood-brain system or not.

Molecular biologists have also found that the spike protein has many regions that share similarities to proteins in human, therefore, when the immune cell learns to attack the spike protein by the vaccine, it may also mistake the immune cells ironically also learn how to kill their own cells, leading to tissue damage and death.

Another criteria is specificity, meaning that specific age groups or races are more affected than others.

If there is no causality, we would expect the adverse events to be similar across all demographics, however, in the case of COVID-19 vaccinations, clustering of various adverse events are observed in certain age groups.

Perhaps one of the strongest evidence for specificity is the increased incidence of myocarditis in teenage and young adult males.

"If the vaccine has no causal relationship at with myocarditis, it is expected that all age groups will have the same rates of myocarditis; however, data shows that myocarditis rates are particularly high in young males, indicating a causal signal."

"It's unknown why causality (assessments for myocarditis) are not being done because I guarantee you, if they were being done the way that they've always traditionally been done, causality would have been proven, or strong evidence would have been provided to show evidence of causality in the context of the shots and myocarditis, and they would have been stopped, at least in young people.

Background and removed data

Between 2021 to 2022, the number of reports has fallen considerably from 620,876 reported adverse events for COVID-19 vaccines by the end of 2021 to 83,819 reported as of Oct. 6.

However, Rose speculates the real number for 2022 could be much higher, given the rate of backlogs, and the data removal she has noticed.

In March's peer-reviewed study evaluating the pharmacovigilance of VAERS data, Rose and co-author Dr. Yeadon, who formerly worked from the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) website every week (not links) to the most recent data downloaded from the CDC Wonk Vault.

The most recent data should contain all of the reported events from the beginning to now, and it is usually expected that the two lines would follow each other.

"But they don't," Rose said in her video published in September 2021.

Rose noted that the red and blue lines did not match each other in shape, and also had a large difference in numbers, constituted by the black gap between the lines.

Critical approval of COVID Pharmacovigilance — Oct. 2021
Figure 9: Shaded plots showing the 8/21 data as they were input per respective update (grey) shaded regions compared with those data as they are reported in each individual updated file (black).
Figure 10: Shaded plots showing the 7/4th, 7/18th and 8th Breakthrough COVID-19 data as they were input per respective update (grey) shaded regions compared with those data as they are reported in each individual updated file (black).

Screenshot of Jessica Rose's report titled "Critical Appraisal of VAERS" Pharmacovigilance in the U.S. Vaccine Adverse Events Reporting System (VAERS) in Functioning as a Signal Detection and Monitoring System

Using data at week 39 as an example (page graph from the left), Rose said it demonstrated that the weekly data as of week 39 showed that there were more than 7,000 adverse events, whereas the most updated report showed that at week 39 there were actually more than 26,600 severe adverse event reports from later updates.

"This is a very large difference," Rose said in the video, "especially when you consider what a severe adverse event is."

"So what this means is that a person who was watching the VAERS data [at week 39] and wondering to themselves, should I get this injection or not, would have seen this and [think] there isn't much risk according to this number,' but the number hadn't been correct because the data hadn't been entered yet."

All together, "I would say there's like close to a million reports that haven't been filled in yet," Rose revealed.

Rose's study also found that between Dec. 17, 2020, to Aug. 6, 2021, there were 1,516 VAERS reports on COVID-19 vaccines removed without explanation.

"VAERS IDs can change because of an update or duplication, they can [also] be removed. But that's different from VAERS IDs just simply going missing," said Rose.

"I'm not saying I know why that's happening. I have my suspicions, but I'm not going to make them public because I'm not sure of them. I'm not going to note saying, [this] VAERS ID and the number — because it's a person, remember, it's not just a number — has been removed from the VAERS database for this reason."

At the time of Rose's report, the total number of missing VAERS IDs for COVID-19 vaccines constituted 0.4% of all VAERS IDs.

Given that more than 1,500 reports were missing between the 33 weeks from Dec. 17, 2020 to Aug. 6, 2021, that means an average of 46 reports went missing every week.

"Every week there was an average between 30-50, to 100 reports that just were removed. It's very strange," said Rose.

And 69% of the removed adverse events reported during this period were severe adverse events, 13% of the removed data were death reports.

The removed death data also constituted 3% of all death data in VAERS.

Of the removed death data, 59% were removed due to redundancy, though most missing data were not given an explanation.

"Something worth noting was the commonality in deleted entries where a causality relationship between the injections and the ill health was asserted, was not only implied but also suggested by the sender, which is typically the physician or emergency room physicians who attended to the individual's case." Rose wrote in the article.

Bivalent vaccine data inconsistencies

Two weeks after the COVID-19 bivalent booster vaccinations were added in the VAERS data system as a potential vaccine to report, adverse reports had already jumped to 566.

Rose said that in the first week, there were 105 reports for the bivalent vaccine, and by the second week, it has increased by almost 400% to 566.

This increase is higher than the percentage increase between the first and second week of the initial vaccine rollouts.

Rose observed in late December when the first shots were rolled out, the first week of VAERS data showed 1,920 reports from the first COVID-19 shot and the second week had 2,072 reports — an over 50% increase.

"And it's not because more people got the shot [as the bivalent vaccines and] at least as risky [as the primary shots]," Rose said.

However, due to the introduction of bivalent boosters for people who already had the primary series, as well as follow-up boosters introduced a lot of confounding factors for them.

The bivalent shots are mostly targeted to people who have already had boosters, possibly taking the bivalent injection as their fourth, fifth or sixth shots. Rose argued that their health would already be very compromised.

"From a data scientist's point of view, it's a nightmare, because you can't draw a conclusion at all. If somebody dies one day after getting the bivalent shots, but they're already on their fifth shot, we can't say that that wasn't because of the first four. There's no way we could say it was 99% shot-related then."

Further, within the VAERS data, there are also people who are taking the bivalent injections as their first shots. This jumble of people who were boosted with people who are taking these as their primaries add further mess to the data.

"People aren't being asked to stick to one manufacturer," she said.

"Since that would make the data cleaner if we did see safety signals coming out from a subset of people who have only had the Pfizer products, that would be much cleaner data, right? But they're not promoting that at all, and I think it's by design."

The Epoch Times contacted the CDC and Moderna for comments.

Reprinted with permission from The Epoch Times.

Marina Zhang is based in New York and covers health and U.S. news.

The views and opinions expressed in this article are those of the author and do not necessarily reflect the views of Children's Health Defense.

Subscribe To The Defender – It's Free!
First Name
Last Name
SIGN UP

SUGGEST A CORRECTION

The Epoch Times
The Epoch Times' mission is to bring a truthful view of the world free from the influence of any government, corporation or political party.

Sign up for free news and updates from Robert F. Kennedy, Jr. and The Defender — the top source for the latest breaking legal, in an effort to defend the health of our children and obtain justice for those already injured. Your support is essential to CHD's successful mission.

Republishing Guidelines

Children's Health Defense Comment Policy
We welcome relevant and respectful comments. Off-topic comments may be removed.
Please read our Comment Policy before commenting.

1 Comment
Login
Join the discussion...
LOG IN WITH OR SIGN UP WITH DISQUS
Sort by Best
Jason Wilson • 2 months ago
The saddest thing is that there is no notable/credible f-ckup. This is at a psychological operation (PsyOp) to get people to take an inject in their arm on the corporate assertions. Worse that the worse excuse you've ever seen. Very heartbreaking. :(
Reply • Share
Subscribe Privacy Do Not Sell My Data

Donate Now
You make the difference.

Latest News
Show Winners Share $1.6M in Expected Surplus while COVID Losers Suffer with Zero Show
3 New Studies Add to Mounting Evidence That COVID Vaccines May Not Be Worth the Risk
Billionaire Taxpayer Dollars Fund Pfizer's Fetal Cell Lines Capture Scientists
MORE NEWS

Latest Videos
Top 7 Foods to Help Prevent Depression
VAERS COVID Vaccine Injury Reports 'Unprecedented' in Quantity and 'Alarm,' Analysis Shows
Fact: Fossil Diet Can Cause Irreversible Kidney Damage
MORE VIDEOS

Get FREE News & Updates!
First Name
Last Name
Email
SIGN UP

Get Text Alerts
Sign up for breaking news from The Defender.
Currently only eligible for numbers in the U.S. and Canada.
Phone
By submitting, I have read and agree to the Terms of Use and Privacy Policy.
CONTINUE

© 2014 - 2022 Children's Health Defense® All Rights Reserved

Donations are tax deductible to the extent allowed by law. Tax ID# 47-2004760